

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

CHARLES ANTHONY BROOKS,	}	No. C 14-04594 HRL (PR)
Plaintiff,		ORDER OF DISMISSAL
v.		
ROGER GOLD, et al.,		
Defendants.		

On October 15, 2014, Plaintiff, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, filed a complaint pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983, along with an In Forma Pauperis (“IFP”) Application. (Docket No. 2.) On the same day, the Clerk sent Plaintiff a notice that his IFP application was deficient because he failed to attach supporting documentation, i.e., (1) a Certificate of Funds in Prisoner’s Account completed and signed by an authorized prison official, and (2) a copy of his prisoner trust account statement showing transactions for the last six months. (Docket No. 3.) Plaintiff was provided with a Certificate of Funds form and postage-paid return envelope, and directed to file a response within twenty-eight days to avoid dismissal of this action. (Id.)

On November 26, 2014, the Court granted Plaintiff a second extension of time of twenty-eight days to file a complete IFP application when his second application was also

1 deficient. (Docket Nos. 6 & 7.)

2 The deadline has since passed, and Plaintiff has neither paid the filing fee nor filed
3 the necessary documents to complete his IFP application. Accordingly, this case is
4 **DISMISSED** without prejudice for failure to pay the filing fee.

5 The Clerk shall terminate any pending motions and close the file.

6 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

7 DATED: 1/16/15


HOWARD R. LLOYD
United States Magistrate Judge